Scott. II-48—34,846—26-1-22.

L.Sy

(1923) Department.

M

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 215 L & M

DATED 23. 1. 1923

[Despatch Abstract.]

Trunk Road

Hospet municipality Proposal to widen - ✗ rejected.

Ext. from the Secy's review. Hopeh Report.

21-22

xx Orders will issue separately regarding the Construction of the Trunk road to a width of 31 feet in its Course through the town.

F.J.R 3/9



21457

Ext. from para 9 of the report.

x The width of the main bazaar through which the Trunk road) is 76 ft at its northern limit and which narrows itself as it runs towards the South, the width there being 31 ft xx

9/10

K.S. 9 (Lt.M)

Submitted that the remarks of the P. W D may be invited as regards the advisability of ~~Constructing~~ Constricting the width of the Trunk road in ~~it~~ its Course through the town to a uniform ~~width~~ width of 31 ft ~~throughout~~.

Lgs. I note no 21969-1 Genl. 24.10.22 23.10.22.

P. W D



27.10.22

Public Works Department.

I don't see how P.W.D. is concerned.

Provided the width of metalling is 18 ft. or more there is plenty of room for 2 lines of traffic, and in a village of the size of Hospet I do not see why anything more should be required.

No Municipality except Madras, for its portion of the Coast Road, has yet received more than Rs.1,000 per mile for any portion of trunk road, and so long as Hospet is not given more than Rs.1,000 per mile no precedent will be established.

L.S.G. might be asked on what specific point P.W.D. opinion is required.

Under the present rules I have only inspected the Gudiem roads, and in the Municipality of Chicacole only 12 ft. width of metalling could be maintained at Rs.1,000 a mile.

If Hospet wants wider roads it should pay for them from its own funds. 31 ft. is wider than is essential.

C.I.E.
30-10-22.

P.W.D. No. 2251 W&A/22-1
14-4-23

L.S.G. Dept.

A draft order is submitted for approval.

20.4.23.

2.2

Scott. II-10 (a)—28,962—2-2-22. (6)

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..	24/1	
When examined ..	25/1	
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

HRS 2871 ..... L.S.G. ..... DEPARTMENT

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 192 .

" " " " ....., " ..... 192 .

READ ALSO;—

C. No. 21959, From the ....., dated ..... 192 .

" " ..... " " ..... " , " ..... 192 .

" " ..... " " ..... " , " ..... 192 .

ORDER.

Mub.

No 2133, dated 22.1.1923

With ref to para 1 of G.O. N° 1932 L&MSR 13-10-22, the Govt consider that a width of 31 feet is sufficient for the Bazaar road. If the council however wants to widen it the cost thereof should be borne by the municipality.

L Jm 22/1

Sd.
20.1.23.

L,

DP 22/1

DESPATCHED
26 JAN 1923

(2)

To
The C.M.O. Hospet.
" Copy to S.H.D.